

Remarks

This communication is considered fully responsive to the Office Action. Claims 1-9, 11-14, 16, 18-22, and 24-34 were examined. Claims 24-30 and 34 stand rejected. Claim 24 is currently amended. No claims are currently being canceled. No new claims are currently being added. Reexamination and reconsideration of the pending claims are respectfully requested.

Telephone Interview Summary

Applicant's attorney listed below conducted a Telephone Interview with the Examiner on October 12 to discuss the Section 112 rejection. During the Telephone Interview the Examiner said that he had his supervisor's approval to remove the Section 112 rejection if Applicant would amend claim 24 to recite "A mobile electronic device having a non-transitory computer-readable storage including a non-volatile memory and a processor . . ." as disclosed in the specification at para. [0092]. The Examiner also requested that the Applicant amend the drawings to add a non-volatile memory. Applicant has amended claim 24 and the drawings accordingly. Applicant appreciates the Examiner's suggestion to put all of the claims in condition for allowance in this case.

Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to contact the below-listed attorney prior to issuing another Office Action if there are any further issues that may be remedied by a telephone interview.

First Claim Rejection – 35 U.S.C. 112

The Office Action rejected claims 24-30 and 34 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because “computer readable storage” was not described in the specification.

Applicant believes that this rejection is moot in view of current amendments as discussed above in the Telephone Interview Summary.

Conclusion

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this matter.


Respectfully Submitted,

/Mark D. Trenner/

Dated: October 19, 2010

By: _____

Mark D. Trenner
Reg. No. 43,961
(720) 221-3708



Reply to Office Action of August 19, 2010

Annotated Sheet Showing Changes

